

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE ·

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,503	08/15/2006	Masato Otsuka	OTSU3004/REF	9443
23364 RACON & TH	7590 01/23/2008		EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE			TABOR, AMARE F	
FOURTH FLO ALEXANDRI	- ·		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2139	
	•			
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		• .	01/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

*			I
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/589,503	OTSUKA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Amare Tabor	2139	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciation approach for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Sply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A	August 2006.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	·		S
closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	,		
 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		ov the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ction is required if the drawing	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview 9	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-0322) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application	

10/589,503 Art Unit: 2139

DETAILED ACTION Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 2, 3, 6, 10, 11, 14, 18 and 21-23, drawn to prevention of unauthorized data including copy detection classified in class 726, subclass 032;
 - II. Claims 1, 9, 17, 19 and 20, drawn to data processing protection classified in class 713, subclass 193; and
 - III. Claims 4, 5, 7, 8, 12, 13, 15, 16, 24 and 25, drawn to optical storage medium classified in class 720, subclass 718.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions listed as Group I, Group II and Group III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention has separate utility as follows:

- Group I has separate utility such as system and method of finding an illegal copy of an optical disc;
- Group II has separate utility such as system and method of data protection stored on a readonly or rewritable or recordable optical disc; and
- Group III has separate utility such as apparatus and method for manufacturing an optical disc.

See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II (or the search required for Group I is not required for Group III), or the search required for Group II is not required for Group III) restriction for examination purposes as indicated is

proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Should the applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number:

10/589,503

Art Unit: 2139

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Amare Tabor whose telephone number is (571) 270-3155. The examiner can normally be

reached on Mon-Fri 7:30a.m. to 5:00p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz

Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

AYAZ SHEIKH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Amare Tabor AU 2139